

P-Fast & Furious!!!

Rapid Developments in Regulations Involving Per- and Polyfluoroalkyl Substances (PFAS) and a Case Study on a Proven Adsorption Technology

AnneMarie Sanford – Member, Dickinson Wright PLLC

Frank Cassou – CEO, Cyclopure, Inc.

Austin Angeline, Environmental Consultant, Trinity Consultants

1000



The following topics will be discussed as they relate to PFAS regulation:

- ► General Federal Updates
- ► Toxic Substances Control Act
- Toxics Release Inventory
- National Drinking Water Standards
- National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permitting
- Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act
- Resource Conservation and Recovery Act
- Air Emissions Reporting and Permitting



General Federal Updates



National Defense Authorization Act (NDAA)

- Authorizes national defense funding levels
- ► Typical mechanism for PFAS legislation
 - For example, adds new PFAS to list of TRI chemicals
- ▶ 2024 amendments of note
 - Substantial funding for PFAS remediation activities
 - Modifies timing and reporting on activities of the PFAS Task Force
 - Increases duration for technology development prizes through 2026
 - Requires submittal of a report assessing state of testing/remediation by Department of Defense (DOD) of military installations contaminated with PFAS



EPA Significant New Use Rules (SNURs)

- ▶ 2020
 - Rules on use of long-chain PFAS that have been phased out in U.S.
 - No import of PFAS for use as surface coatings
- ▶ 2022/2023
 - Fluorination processes used in plastics manufacturing
 - Informed facilities of risks from this process
- ▶ 2024
 - Issued order to facilities directing the phase-out of plastics fluorination
 - Latest SNUR prohibits manufacture/import of 329 "inactive" PFAS



Toxic Substances Control Act (TSCA)



PFAS TSCA One-Time Reporting Rule

- ▶ Proposed reporting/recordkeeping requirements for PFAS [TSCA Section 8(a)(7)]
- ▶ Rule finalized September 2023 (40 CFR Part 705)
- ► Applies to manufacturers/importers of PFAS since January 2011
- ▶ NO DE MINIMIS EXEMPTIONS or low activity threshold for small manufacturers
- ▶ Report within 18 months of effective date of rule (November 13, 2023)
 - Within 24 months if small manufacturer (and only article imports)
- Expected to provide data on more than 1,400 PFAS

Per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances or PFAS means, for the purpose of this part, any

chemical substance or mixture containing a chemical substance that structurally contains at least

one of the following three sub-structures:

(1) R-(CF2)-CF(R')R'', where both the CF2 and CF moieties are saturated carbons

(2) R-CF2OCF2-R', where R and R' can either be F, O, or saturated carbons

(3) CF₃C(CF₃)R'R", where R' and R"" can either be F or saturated carbons.



Information to Report

- ► Company and plant site information
- Chemical-specific information
- ► Categories of use
- Manufactured amounts each year since January 1, 2011
 - Individual amounts for each PFAS compound
- Byproduct reporting
- Environmental and health effects
- Worker exposure data
- Disposal data



Additional PFAS One-Time Reporting Information

- Article importer and R&D substance reporting
- Exemptions from reporting
 - Import of municipal solid waste streams intended for disposal or destruction
 - Federal agencies which import PFAS not for commercial advantage
- Duplicative reporting
 - No need to submit for years PFAS data already reported
 - Chemical Data Reporting (CDR) rule
 - Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Reporting rule
 - Toxics Release Inventory (TRI)
- Differences to existing CDR requirements
 - New rule covers chemicals not added to TSCA inventory
 - No reporting thresholds
 - No exemptions for small manufacturers and small governments



Toxics Release Inventory (TRI)



Current PFAS Subject to TRI Program

- ▶ Reporting Year 2023
 - Nine additional PFAS reportable for RY23 (189 total)
 - De minimis exemption still applies
 - Reporting threshold is 100 lbs
- ▶ Reporting Year 2024 (final as of October 10, 2023)
 - Seven additional PFAS will be reportable (196 total)
 - *De minimis* exemption will be removed
 - Range reporting option will be removed



Designation as Chemicals of Special Concern

► NO DE MINIMIS EXEMPTIONS

- Previously, a *de minimis* exemption of 1% by weight (0.1% by weight for PFOA)
- *De minimis* exemptions for supplier notifications under SARA 313 also removed
- ► Now classified as Chemicals of Special Concern
 - Other examples: lead and mercury
 - Form A cannot be used, must report on Form R
- ▶ Effective for reporting year 2024 (reports due 7/1/2025)
- ► Updated supplier notification beginning with first chemical shipment in 2024
 - See Question 876 of GuideME questions and answers
 - Potential trickle-down effect
- No changes to article exemption



PFAS on SDS

Specific chemicals

Components

Chemical name	CAS-No.	Concentration (% w/w)
N-[3-(Dimethyloxidoamino)propyl]- 3,3,4,4,5,5,6,6,7,7,8,8,8- tridecafluoro-1-octanesulfonamide	80475-32-7	>= 30 - < 50
Ethanol	64-17-5	>= 30 - < 50

Actual concentration is withheld as a trade secret

► Generic definition

Chemical Name	Weight %*	CAS #
Water	> 56	7732-18-5
Hexylene Glycol	< 19	107-41-5
Proprietary mixture of fluorosurfactants and	< 5	N/A
hydrocarbon surfactants		



National Drinking Water Standards



Unregulated Contaminants Monitoring Rule (UCMR)

- ▶ UCMR3 initial mechanism for establishing PFAS drinking water standards
- ► UCMR5
 - Monitoring to occur between 2023-2025
 - Public water systems serving > 3,300 customers
 - 800 randomly selected systems serving < 3,300 customers
 - 29 total PFAS to be tested
 - EPA publishes data quarterly (UCMR5 Data Finder)
 - Approx. 24% of total expected results
- More than initial 29 PFAS can be monitored, but legislation is lagging behind technology



Drinking Water Contaminants Candidate List (CCL)

- ► EPA publishes CCL every five years under Safe Drinking Water Act
- Used to determine which chemicals to monitor under UCMR
 - After UCMR monitoring, National Drinking Water Standard may be proposed
- ▶ EPA published CCL5 at the end of 2022
 - Process starts with around 23,500 potential contaminants
 - List was distilled down to around 300 contaminants in Preliminary CCL5
 - Final detailed evaluation resulted in adding 66 chemicals, 3 chemical groups, and 12 microbes
 - Lists PFAS as a class of chemical with specific structural definition
 - Next time candidates are selected for next UCMR, EPA can select the PFAS class



Proposed Drinking Water Standards

- ▶ Final rule for PFAS Primary Drinking Water Standards expected Spring 2024
- Maximum Contaminant Levels (MCLs)
 - 4 parts per trillion (ppt) standard for PFOA and PFOS
 - Proposes Hazard Index approach for mixtures of four other PFAS compounds

$$HI = \sum_{i=1}^{n} HQ_i = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{E_i}{RfV_i}$$

HI = Hazard Index

HQ_i = Hazard Quotient for Chemical i

E_i = Exposure for chemical I

RfV_i = Reference value or corresponding Health-Based Water Concentration

- Public water system requirements
 - Monitor for these six PFAS
 - Notify public of PFAS levels
 - Reduce levels of PFAS in drinking water if exceeding standards



National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Permitting Program



Effluent Limitations Guidelines (ELGs)

- National standards for wastewater discharges implemented via discharge permits
 - Concentration limits
 - Periodic pollutant monitoring
 - Control technologies and best management practices
 - Product elimination or substitution when reasonable alternative is available
 - Accidental discharge minimization
 - Equipment decontamination or replacement where there is legacy PFAS contamination
- ▶ Effluent Guidelines Program Plan 15 (published January 31, 2023)
 - EPA will not pursue further action for various industrial categories
 - EPA will continue to monitor PFAS use/discharges from other industrial categories



Summary of Plan 15 Actions

Industrial Category	Plan 15 Action
Landfills	Plan 15 announces that after collecting and analyzing data on PFAS found in landfill leachate, EPA has determined that revisions to the ELGs for the Landfills Category are warranted. The Landfill ELG should include pretreatment standards. EPA studies have indicated the potential effectiveness of granular activated carbon, ion exchange, and reverse osmosis.
Textile Mills	EPA intends to expand the detailed study of the Textile Mills Category to gather information on the use and treatment of PFAS-associated discharges. For this expanded study, EPA intends to use a mandatory questionnaire issued to a nationally representative sample of textile mills.
Publicly Owned Treatment Works (POTWs)	EPA intends to initiate a POTW Influent Study of PFAS, which will focus on collecting nationwide data on industrial discharges of PFAS to POTWs, including categories recently reviewed. EPA intends to undertake this study to verify sources of PFAS wastewater and help POTWs assess the need for control measures at the source.
Electrical and Electronic Components (E&EC)	EPA is not pursuing further action for the E&EC Category at this time but will continue monitoring this category for PFAS discharge data through the POTW Influent Study.
Pulp, Paper, and Paperboard Category and Airports	EPA will continue to monitor PFAS use and discharges from these source categories.



State NPDES Adoption

- ► EPA guidance
 - Issued to address state-specific NPDES programs
 - Provides recommendations on how to address PFAS in NPDES permits
 - Quarterly monitoring for 40 PFAS compounds
 - Recommended monitoring methods
 - Method 1633 (finalized late January 2024)
 - Testing for adsorbable organic fluorine (AOF) as proxy for total PFAS
- No widespread adoption by states yet
 - General reluctance due to lack of resources
 - Some movement seen in MA, CO, NY, SC



Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERCLA) & Resource Conservation and Recovery Act (RCRA)



PFAS as CERCLA Hazardous Substance

- ▶ September 6, 2022
 - Proposed rule designating PFOA and PFOS as hazardous
 - Increase transparency around releases of PFOA and PFOS
 - Immediately report releases exceeding the reportable quantity (1 lb in 24-hr period)
 - No requirement to report past releases
 - Expected to recommend non-enforcement against passive receivers
 - Final rule publication estimated for Spring 2024
- Phase I Environmental Site Assessments (ESA)
 - PFAS inquiries/analyses would be required (ASTM International's E1527-21)
- ▶ Further considering other PFAS, PFAS precursors, and PFAS as a class.



PFAS as a RCRA Hazardous Substance

- EPA must undertake a two-step process
 - List PFAS as Hazardous Constituent in 40 CFR 261 App. VIII
 - Publish findings regarding health/environmental harm due to improper disposal/treatment/storage/etc.
- ▶ February 2024 nine PFAS proposed for addition as Hazardous Constituents
 - Subject to corrective action requirements (e.g., investigation and clean-up)
- Second proposed rule clarifying that PFAS can be cleaned up via RCRA corrective action process
- Designation as hazardous under RCRA automatically qualifies as hazardous under CERCLA



Air Emissions Reporting and Permitting



Clean Air Act Implications

- ► No PFAS have been designated as hazardous air pollutants (HAP)
 - EPA has suggested adding PFOA and PFOS as HAP
 - Designation as HAP under Clean Air Act rolls those pollutants into CERCLA
- ► Some PFAS considered under state-wide air toxics programs
 - MI, MN, NH, NJ, NY, TX
 - Emissions primarily semi-volatile PFAS and aerosol-bound PFAS
- ▶ July 25, 2023
 - Proposed rule to update Air Emissions Reporting Requirements (AERR)
 - Allow EPA to annually collect HAP emissions data for point sources
 - EPA considering mechanisms to roll PFAS into this final ruling by adding to 40 CFR 51 Subpart A Tables





P-FAST AND FURIOUS IN THE REAL WORLD



Presented by: AnnMarie Sanford Member | Troy 248.205.3246 | asanford@dickinsonwright.com

INTRODUCTION

- Real World Challenges
 Associated with Implementing
 PFAS Regulations
- Lessons Learned from Michigan
- ► Case Studies
- ▶ Final Thoughts



DICKINSON WRIGHT

ARIZONA CALIFORNIA COLORADO FLORIDA ILLINOIS KENTUCKY MICHIGAN NEVADA OHIO TENNESSEE TEXAS WASHINGTON DC TORONTO

CHALLENGES

- How will new requirements be implemented?
- Technical challenges to achieving compliance
- ► Cost
- ►Timeline
- ► Is compliance feasible?



DICKINSONWRIGHT

ARIZONA	CALIFORNIA	COLORADO	FLORIDA	ILLINOIS	KENTUCKY	MICHIGAN	NEVADA	оню	TENNESSEE	TEXAS	WASHINGTON DC	TORONTO
												29

LESSONS LEARNED FROM MICHIGAN

- ▶ 2014 Surface Water Criteria
- Michigan's PFAS Action Response Team ("MPART") was established in 2017
- January 9, 2018 Adopted MCLs and groundwater cleanup criteria for PFOA and PFOS
- August 2020, cleanup criterial for groundwater updated to include 7 PFAS



DICKINSONWRIGHT

ARIZONA	CALIFORNIA	COLORADO	FLORIDA	ILLINOIS	KENTUCKY	MICHIGAN	NEVADA	OHIO	TENNESSEE	TEXAS	WASHINGTON DC	TORONTO
							and the second second second	0.000.000.000.0000				

LESSONS LEARNED FROM MICHIGAN

- 2018 Industrial Pretreatment Program ("IPP") PFAS Initiative
- 2018 Statewide testing of Michigan's public water supplies
- Evaluation of industrial storm water discharges
- ▶ PFAS limits in NPDES permits



DICKINSON WRIGHT

ADITONIA					KENELOKY	MI ALLIA AN		01110		TEVAO	WARLEN CTON DO	TODOUTO
ARIZONA	CALIFORNIA	COLORADO	FLORIDA	ILLINOIS	KENTUCKY	MICHIGAN	NEVADA	оню	TENNESSEE	IEXAS	WASHINGTON DC	TORONTO



Case Studies

DICKINSONWRIGHT



DICKINSON WRIGHT CHROME PLATER 33
ARIZONA CALIFORNIA COLORADO FLORIDA ILLINOIS KENTUCKY MICHIGAN NEVADA OHIO TENNESSEE TEXAS WASHINGTON DC TORONTO







DICKINSONWRIGHT

AIRPORTS

ARIZONA CALIFORNIA COLORADO FLORIDA ILLINOIS KENTUCKY MICHIGAN NEVADA OHIO TENNESSEE TEXAS WASHINGTON DC TORONTO



FINAL THOUGHTS

DICKINSON WRIGHT

March 19, 2024

DEXSORB[®] Cyclodextrin Adsorbent for PFAS

Get to know DEXSORB and its use to Make Water Safe

- Water Testing Test products for tap water, surface water, and groundwater
- Home Drinking Water Filtration products for countertop and whole home
- Environmental Waters Engineered systems for water treatments

Contact Us | <u>contact@cyclopure.com</u> | (312) 639- 5009 | 2430 N. Halsted St., 4th Floor, Chicago, IL 60614

Making Water Safe. For Everyone. Everywhere.





Cyclopure Develops Novel Adsorbents for Water Purification.

Founded 2016

Technology Center in Chicago IL

Leadership



Frank Cassou CEO (Tech Executive)



Dr. Irwin Jacobs Lead Investor (Founder QUALCOMM)



Dr. Gerhard Schmid Vice Chairman (CEO Emeritus, Wacker Chem)

Technology Overview

DEXSORB[®] Adsorbent for PFAS.



DEXSORB[®] Powder



DEXSOR 25 - 1000 4

DEXSORB® Granules





Renewable beta-cyclodextrins designed for hydrophobic interaction with PFAS

• High surface area

• 300x10¹⁸ cavities per gram

• Easy access to cavities • High kinetics and capacity

• Flow through hydraulics

organics and ions

• Not affected by competing

• Preserve kinetics and capacity

Equal Performance in Diverse Waters





Water







Drinking Surface Water

Ground water

Waste water

Leachate

Molecular Selectivity with High Capacity



PFAS

Uniform 0.78 nm cyclodextrin cups provide Molecular Selectivity to all PFAS targeted in EPA Roadmap.



Molecule



Size-Exclusion avoids competition by natural organic matter, FOG (fat, oil and grease) and inorganic ions.

DEXSORB[®] can selectively uptake compound with molecular weight of 150-1000 Daltons.

Commercial Overview

DEXSORB® Commercial Implementations

Manufacturing Scale Toll Partner (400 tons/year)









Groundwater Pump-and-Treat



Drinking Water



RO Concentrate



Industrial Wastewater

Water Test Kit Pro: PFAS Grab Sample

DEXSORB[®] Point-of-Site Sampling



Water Test Kit Pro with DEXSORB is a first-ever method to provide test-site PFAS extraction, eliminating the need to collect and ship water. Analysis of water samples is validated to EPA Methods 537, 533, and 1633.

We test for 55 PFAS, with an industry best reporting limit of 1.0 ppt.

Widespread Commercial Use

To date, we have tested and reported on over **10,000** water samples in all **50** States across the U.S. Our water test kits have been used to test tap water, rivers, lakes, and streams by consumers, state agencies, research institutions, and environmental groups.

Water Test Kit Pro is listed by the National Institute of Environmental Health Sciences (NIHES) as a Sensor Technology for the 21st Century.



- Collection: Fill the collection cup with 250mL of water sample
- Extraction: Pass water through the DEXSORB extraction disc
- Elution: Recover PFAS analytes with standard elution process
- Analysis: Use isotope dilution for PFAS quantification
- Method validated against the EPA Method 537.1

FluxTracer with DEXSORB By Regenesis

Sampler cartridges can be deployed in monitoring wells to measure PFAS mass flux for geographical modeling.



REGENESIS

A global leader in technologybased solutions for the environment with an emphasis on contaminated site remediation.

FluxTracer®

Flux Mapping Tools are easy-to-use devices that vertically delineate contaminant mass flux and groundwater speed within an existing monitoring well to help site characterization and remedial designs.



REGENESIS Introduces FluxTracer Flux Mapping Tool for CVOCS and PFAS – a Precise Measurement Tool for Groundwater Mass Flux and Velocity



R&D Scientists, Joshua Moreno and Dr. Yen Ling in the REGENESIS lab hold a FluxTracer unit. (Photo: Business Wire)



DEXSORB for Home Drinking Water

PFAS Filtration for Countertop. + Whole Home. + OEM.





Purefast[®] Countertop Filter: PFAS

For Brita Pitchers

DEXSORB® PFAS Filter for Brita Pitchers

Cyclopure's DEXSORB[®] granular adsorbent is combined with activated carbon to provide a first-ever PFAS filter for a counter-top pitcher. Cyclopure designed Purefast Filter Cartridge to be compatible with Brita pitchers.

Product Performance Data Sheet

Substance	Effluent concentration	Influent challenge concentration	NSF % Reduction Requirement	
	NSF Standard 42-	- Aesthetic Effects		
Chlorine (Taste & Odor)	Non-Detect	2 (mg/L)	>50%	
NSF Star	idard 53- Health Ef	fects (PFAS Micropo	ollutants)	
PFOA	Non-Detect	500 (ng/L)	Combined	
PFOS	Non-Detect	1000 (ng/L)	concentration 70 ng/L	

Cyclopure internal testing demonstrates reduction of 9 additional PFAS (PFHxA, PFHxS, PFNA, PFDA, PFHpA, PFPeA, PFBS, PFBA, HFPO-DA (GenX)) to non-detect for 65 Gallons capacity based on influent concentration of 40 ppt per PFAS.

The Purefast® filter is tested and certified by NSF International against NSF/ANSI Standard 42 for material requirements only.



NSF Certification for 65 Gallons

Tested by NSF International to a capacity of **65** gallons for the reduction of chlorine (NSF/ANSI 42) and for PFOA/PFOS reduction (NSF/ANSI 53).

Each Purefast[®] filter comes with a pre-paid mailer to return used cartridges to our lab for safe disposal.





Purefast[®] Home: Point-of-Entry

Water System for PFAS Removal

Purefast Home80 and Purefast Home20

Cyclopure's Purefast Home80 and Purefast Home20 with DEXSORB are the only whole home systems able to remove PFAS to nondetect. DEXSORB® is certified under NSF 61 as safe for drinking water treatment.



Product Performance Data Sheet

Internal testing demonstrates full removal of 8 PFAS (i.e., PFOA, PFOS, PFHpA, PFHxS, PFNA, GenX, PFBS, and PFDA) at an influent concentration of 50 ppt per PFAS.

Substance	Influent Challenge Concentration	Effluent Concentration	US EPA Proposed Drinking Water Limit
PFOA	50 ng/L	Non-Detect ¹⁾	< 4 ng/L
PFOS	50 ng/L	Non-Detect	< 4 ng/L
PFHpA	50 ng/L	Non-Detect	NA
PFHxS	50 ng/L	Non-Detect	Hazard Index ²⁾ < 1.0
PFNA	50 ng/L	Non-Detect	Hazard Index < 1.0
GenX	50 ng/L	Non-Detect	Hazard Index < 1.0
PFBS	50 ng/L	Non-Detect	Hazard Index < 1.0
PFDA	50 ng/L	Non-Detect	NA



Certified to NSF/ANSI/CAN 61

Media

Engineered Systems

PFAS Adsorption For Every Water Source.





Projects - '

Landfill Leachate Treatment Pilot in Michigan.

System Configuration	PI	FAS Removal Perfor	mance	
<image/>	Raw Leachate - 21,000 gallons treated	Concentration (ng/L) PFOS PFOA PFHxS PFBS PFNA Operation Duration: Backwash: None Backpressure: < 10	Influent 310.8 1046.4 538.5 3658.0 57.4 20 days	Effluent < 12 ng/L < 170 ng/L < 210 ng/L < 67,000 ng/L < 30 ng/L MI EGLE WQV Compliant
Media Loading: 60kg per vessel in Lead-Lag configuration Flow Rate: 1.0 gpm (average)	Inf	fluent PFAS Level: 22 EBCT – 35 Min Per V	-26 ppb essel	



Projects - 2

Industrial Wastewater GAC Replacement.



Projects - 3

RO Concentrate Pilot Greensboro NC.



Media Loading: 60kg per vessel in Lead-Lag configuration Flow Rate: **1.0** gpm (average)

PFAS Removal Performance



Figure 4-29. Concentrate Pilot Results – PFAS of Interest (Column 3 – NS)



Figure 4-36. Concentrate Pilot Results – PFAS of Interest (Column 2 – Calgon, EBCT – 10 minutes)



DEXSORB at U.S. Military Installations

Military Base PFAS Pilot in CA.

PFAS Sequestration

Pump and Treat AFFF-Impacted Groundwater:





- EBCT: **5** + **5** minutes (Lead-Lag configuration)
- Average Flow Rate: 2.3 gpm
- TOC > 10 mg/L
- EPA MCL 6 PFAS Level = 10,028 ng/L

Total PFAS Level = 15,959 ng/L



PFAS Desorption

DEXSORB provided

5,000x of PFAS

concentration factor in

this field demonstration



• Up-Flow Rate = **1 gpm**

- Total Regeneration Solution Volume = **300 gallons**
- **1 week** for regeneration process



Remediation of AFFF-Impacted Groundwater Using Novel Cyclodextrin Adsorbent (DEXSORB®)

Objective

ER23-8379

02

The use of aqueous film-forming foam (AFFF) has led to per- and polyfluoroalkyl substances (PFAS) impacting groundwater throughout the United States. To remediate PFAS-impacted groundwater, treatment technologies capable of high capacity PFAS sequestration from water and concentration of PFAS waste for efficient processing by available destruction technologies are required to ensure full elimination of PFAS waste streams. Conventional adsorption technologies used to remove PFAS from water typically involve single-use granular activated carbon (GAC) or strong anion exchange resins (IXR) that result in large volumes of PFAS-laden solid waste. Cyclopure developed a novel DEXSORB® adsorbent, made with renewable β-cyclodextrins, with high capacity to sequester PFAS from water. Importantly, spent DEXSORB media can be desorbed under ambient conditions providing complete recovery and concentration of extracted PFAS. The objective of this project is to

UDEXSORB®

POINT OF CONTACT

Principal Investigator

fcassou@cyclopure.com

Frank Cassou

Cyclopure, Inc. Phone: (312) 639-5009



Naval Air Station Joint Reserve Base Willow

Grove

A US Navy Grumman C-1A Trader taxiing at NAS Willow Grove in 1987 Travis Air Force Base

Near Fairfield, California in the United States of America



A McDonnell Douglas KC-10A Extender of the 60th Air Mobility Wing at Travis Air Force Base during 2015

> Naval Air Warfare Center Warminster



Aerial view of the NADC in the early 1970s

Case Study 1: Drinking Water Treatment in Newburyport MA.

Background and Pilot System Setup.



Pump and Treat PFAS-Contaminated Surface Water:

Water Quality						
Parameter	Value					
pН	6.5 - 7.0					
Turbidity	2 – 7 NTU					
TOC	1.0 – 1.5 mg/L					

MA PFAS6 MCL = 20 ng/L

Bartlett Pond is offline due to detected PFAS contamination. MA PFAS6

Concentration (ng/L)	Average Influent
PFOS	32.6
PFOA	5.0
PFHpA	4.2
PFHxS	20.9
PFNA	ND
PFDA	ND
Total	62.7



Demonstrate Cyclopure's DEXSORB® can effectively remove MA PFAS6 from raw drinking water source.



January 12, 2024: From Mass DEP: Pilot Study Report Approval for DEXSORB (Activity # 22-WS22-0019)

Case Study 1: Drinking Water Treatment in Newburyport MA.

PFAS Removal Performance.





- 510,000 gallons of water treated, 195,500 L/kg specific throughput.
- Complete removal (<1.0 ppt) of MassDEP PFAS6.
- Received New Technology Approval from MassDEP.

Case Study 2: Drinking Water Treatment in Lynnfield MA.

Background and Pilot System Setup.

Pump and Treat PFAS-Contaminated Spring Water: Pocahontas Spring, Lynnfield MA



MA PFAS6

Concentration (ng/L)	Average Influent
PFOS	4.5
PFOA	11.1
PFHpA	5.9
PFHxS	1.9
PFNA	1.2
PFDA	ND
Total	24.6



Former bottling facility, now used as Vending House Building.

Raw spring water sample tested in Feb 2022 with MA PFAS6 over 20 ng/L.

MA PFAS6 MCL = 20 ng/L

Joint Project with Tata & Howard





Case Study 2: Drinking Water Treatment in Lynnfield MA.

Pilot System Operation and PFAS Removal Performance.

DEXSORB PBF System Design:

EBCT: 5 + 5 minutes (Lead-Lag configuration) / Flow Rate: 1.3 gpm (average); 2.5 gpm (max)

System Performance & Operation

MA PFAS6 Removal Data:



Smooth operation with minimal pressure drop (< 5 psi) for over 9 months. No operational was

No operational was backwash needed.

DEXSORB PBF Lead-Lag System



- 530,000 gallons of water treated, 200,600 L/kg specific throughput.
- Complete removal to non-detect of MassDEP PFAS6.
- **PFAS6 < 2 ppt** in lead vessel at over **8 months**.

DEXSORB Desorption

DEXSORB concentrates PFAS into solid form

